## NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING

Date: January 8, 2010

To: Brian O'Neal, P.O. Box 3520, Anaheim, CA 92803-3520
Jordan Brandman, P.O. Box 3520, Anaheim, CA 92803-3520
Anna L. Piercy, P.O. Box 3520, Anaheim, CA 92803-3520
Katherine H. Smith, P.O. Box 3520, Anaheim, CA 92803-3520
Thomas "Hoagy" Holguin, P.O. Box 3520, Anaheim, CA 92803-3520
Orange County Register, 1771 S. Lewis, Anaheim, CA 92805
Anaheim Bulletin, 1771 S. Lewis, Anaheim, CA 92805
News Enterprise, P.O. Box 1010, Los Alamitos, CA 90720
Los Angeles Times, 1375 Sunflower, Costa Mesa, CA 92626
Event News, 9559 Valley View Street, Cypress, CA 90630
Excelsior, 523 N. Grand Avenue, Santa Ana, CA 92701
You are hereby notified that a special meeting of the

- Board of Trustees of the Anaheim Union High School District is called for

Thursday, the $14^{\text {th }}$ day of January 2010
in the District Board Room, 501 Crescent Way, Anaheim, California

> Open Session - 5:30 p.m.

## Addendum, Title III Local Educational Agency Improvement Plan

The Local Educational Agency Plan (LEA Plan) is a requirement for receiving state and federal funding for numerous categorical programs, including Title III, which is the federal categorical program designated to support the academic achievement of English learner students. With the release of the Title III Accountability Reports on October 15, 2009, the district was notified that the Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs) were not met for two consecutive years. The district is now subject to educational improvement activities. This designation, as a Title III Year 2 school district, adds additional requirements to the LEA planning process.

The Title III Year 2 Improvement Plan is an addendum to the LEA Plan, and it describes the educational activities the district will take to improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students. The addendum to the plan requires board approval prior to the required submission to the California Department of Education on January 15, 2010.

## Report on District-Wide Facilities

The Board of Trustees is requested to receive a report on current and future districtwide facilities needs and possible funding sources.


# BOARD OF TRUSTEES <br> Special Meeting Agenda <br> Thursday, January 14, 2010 <br> 5:30 p.m. 

Some items on the agenda of the Board of Trustees' meeting include exhibits of supportive and/or background information. These items may be inspected in the superintendent's office of the Anaheim Union High School District, at 501 Crescent Way in Anaheim, California. The office is open from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, and is closed for most federal and local holidays. These materials are also posted with the meeting agenda on the district web site, www.auhsd.us, at the same time that they are distributed to the Board of Trustees.

Meetings are recorded for use in the official minutes.

1. CALL TO ORDER-ROLL CALL

ACTION ITEM

## 2. ADOPTION OF AGENDA

ACTION ITEM
3. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Board President Brian O'Neal will lead the Pledge of Allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America.
4. PUBLIC COMMENTS, OPEN SESSION

INFORMATION ITEM
Opportunities for public comments occur at the beginning of each agenda item and at this time for items not on the agenda. Persons wishing to address the Board of Trustees should complete a speaker request form, available on the information table, at the back of the room, and submit it to the executive assistant prior to the meeting. Each speaker is limited to a maximum of five minutes; each topic or item is limited to a total of 20 minutes. Board members cannot immediately respond to public comments, as stated on the speaker request form.

## 5. ITEMS OF BUSINESS

### 5.1 Addendum, Title III Local Educational Agency Improvement Plan ACTION ITEM

The Local Educational Agency Plan (LEA Plan) is a requirement for receiving state and federal funding for numerous categorical programs, including Title III, which is the federal categorical program designated to support the academic achievement of English learner students. With the release of the Title III Accountability Reports on October 15, 2009, the district was notified that the Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs) were not met for two consecutive years. The district is now subject to educational improvement activities. This designation, as a Title III Year 2 school district, adds additional requirements to the LEA planning process.

The Title III Year 2 Improvement Plan is an addendum to the LEA Plan, and it describes the educational activities the district will take to improve the English proficiency and academic achievement of Limited English Proficient (LEP) students. The addendum to the plan
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requires board approval prior to the required submission to the California Department of Education on January 15, 2010. [EXHIBIT A]

## Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Board of Trustees approve the addendum to the plan.

### 5.2 Report on District-Wide Facilities

ACTION ITEM
The Board of Trustees is requested to receive a report on current and future district-wide facilities needs and possible funding sources. [EXHIBIT B]

## Recommendation:

It is recommended that the Board of Trustees receive the report and direct staff to proceed with securing funding sources.

## 6. ADJOURNMENT

ACTION ITEM

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, individuals with a disability who require modification or accommodation in order to participate in this meeting should contact the executive assistant to the Board of Trustees at (714) 999-3503 by noon on Monday, January 11, 2010.
Title III Local Educational Agency Improvement Plan Addendum

1. Conduct an analysis of data. Identify and describe the factors that prevented the local educational

## A. Analysis of Data and problems found

The data reviewed to evaluate progress in the Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs) include results for the 2009 California standards test for English language arts (CST-ELA) and Algebra 1 (CST-Algebra 1) for the English learner (EL) and the reclassified fluent English proficient (RFEP) subgroups, and the results for the California High School Exit Exam (CAHSEE) for the EL and RFEP subgroups.
The CELDT results were disaggregated by grade level, proficiency level, and length of time in U.S. schools to evaluate progress in learning English for AMAO 1 and attaining English language proficiency for AMAO 2. The CST-ELA and CST-Algebra 1 results were disaggregated by grade level and CST proficiency level for EL students performing at the Intermediate and Early Advanced/Advanced proficiency levels on the CELDT. These results were compared to the CST performance of RFEP students to evaluate achievement of the academic standards for AMAO 3.
The Anaheim Union High School District has not met either AMAO 2 or AMAO 3 in ELA and Math. Although the district met AMAO 1, the data indicate that the Intermediate group of students did not meet the target. The data also show that large numbers of students test at both the Intermediate level on the CELDT and in the Far Below and Below Basic proficiency levels on the CST for both language arts and math.
AMAO 1: Annual progress in learning English
In 2008-2009,57.7\% of English learners made progress in English language development, which is a slight
decrease of $0.6 \%$ from the prior year. A comparison of the CELDT proficiency levels by grade from 2007-2008
and $2008-2009$ indicates that for two years the $9^{\text {th }}$ and $10^{\text {th }}$ grade EL students' mean scale score in speaking
was significantly lower than the other domains of listening, reading, and writing.
Of the students performing at the Intermediate proficiency level on the 2007-2008 CELDT, only $40.7 \%$ met the growth target of $51.6 \%$ for AMAO 1 as compared to the students at the Beginning level, $49.1 \%$ of which met the target, and the students at the Early Intermediate level, $57.6 \%$ of which met the target. Seventyeight percent of the Early Advanced/Advanced English proficient students maintained their proficiency from the previous year which would indicate that $22.5 \%$ of these students did not. (Figure 1)

| Prior Year <br> CELDT Level | Number in <br> Proficiency <br> Level Prior <br> Year | \% in <br> Proficiency <br> Level Prior <br> Year | Number <br> Meeting <br> Growth <br> Target | Percent <br> Meeting <br> Growth <br> Target | State Avg. <br> Meeting <br> Growth <br> Target* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning | 676 | $8.9 \%$ | 332 | $49.1 \%$ | $63.7 \%$ |
| Early Intermediate | 1026 | $\mathbf{1 3 . 6 \%}$ | 591 | $57.6 \%$ | $62.1 \%$ |
| Intermediate | 2895 | $38.3 \%$ | 1177 | $40.7 \%$ | $40.4 \%$ |
| Early Adv.I <br> Advanced : ot <br> English Proficient | 198 | $2.6 \%$ | 95 | $48.0 \%$ | $51.4 \%$ |
| Early Adv.I <br> Advaned. :nglish <br> Proficient | 2769 | $36.6 \%$ | 2174 | $78.5 \%$ | $74.2 \%$ |
| Total | 7564 | $100.0 \%$ | 4369 | $57.8 \%$ |  |

Figure 1: Number and percentage of ELs meeting annual growth target disaggregated by CELDT proficiency level for the 2008-2009 CELDT. *CA state average is based on data for 2007-2008.
AMAO 2: Annual progress in attaining English-language proficiency
In 2008-2009, the total number of students meeting AMAO 2 decreased by $1.8 \%$ as compared to the prior
year. The data comparing the length of time in U.S. schools disaggregated by the $2008-2009$ CELDT
proficiency level indicates that $83 \%$ of students classified as ELs have been enrolled in U.S. schools for six
years or more and $36 \%$ of these students are performing at the Intermediate proficiency level on CELDT
(Figure 2 ). Overall, $52 \%$ of the ELs tested in $2008-2009$ are performing at the Intermediate or below CELDT
proficiency level. In the group of ELs enrolled six or more years in U.S. schools, there were 216 students who
performed at the Beginning proficiency level on CELDT. The data indicate that 208 of the 216 students are
identified as Special Day Class (SDC) or Resource Specialist Program (RSP) students. Overall, $19 \%$ of the ELs
tested are identified for Special Education services.

| Length of Time in US Schools | Number Percent | Early Advanced or Advanced English Proficient | Early Advanced or Advanced; Not English Proficient | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inter } \\ & \text { mediate } \end{aligned}$ |  | Beginning | Tota (by Time) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 or more years | $\%{ }^{n=}$ | 2885 | 209 | 2118 | 506 | 216 | 5934 |
|  |  | 49\% | 4\% | 36\% | 9\% | 4\% | 83\% |
| 5 years | $\begin{aligned} & n= \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 112 | 6 | 123 | 38 | 16 | 295 |
|  |  | 38\% | 2\% | 42\% | 13\% | 5\% | 4\% |
| 4 years | $\%{ }^{n=}$ | 100 | 4 | 114 | 65 | 30 | 313 |
|  |  | 32\% | 1\% | 36\% | 21\% | 10\% | 4\% |
| 3 years or less | $\%{ }^{n=}$ | 156 | 9 | 174 | 165 | 137 | 641 |
|  |  | 24\% | 1\% | 27\% | 26\% | 21\% | 9\% |
| Total (by ELD level) | $\begin{aligned} & n= \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | 3253 | 228 | 2529 | 774 | 399 | 7183 |
|  |  | 45\% | 3\% | 35\% | 11\% | 6\% |  |

AMAO 3: Annual progress in achieving academic standards
Of the $7^{\text {th }}$ and $8^{\text {th }}$ grade English-proficient ELs (met AMAO 2), 37\% scored at the Below Basic and Far Below Basic level on the CST-ELA as compared to $8 \%$ of RFEPs, and $25 \%$ of these ELs scored Proficient/Advanced on the CST-ELA as compared to $60 \%$ of RFEPs. On the CST-Algebra 1, $65 \%$ of English-proficient ELs scored Below Basic and Far Below Basic as compared to $46 \%$ RFEPs, and $14 \%$ of these ELs scored
Proficient/Advanced as compared to $35 \%$ of RFEPs. Seventy-four percent of EL students not passing the CAHSEE in ELA have been in U.S. schools for more than 6 years. By contrast, RFEP students have a pass rate of $94 \%$. Forty-nine percent of the ELs passed CAHSEE in math, with only $18 \%$ reaching proficiency.

## B. Strengths and weaknesses of current plan

Professional development on many different topics has been provided, but follow-up and monitoring to ensure that best practices and strategies are fully implemented has been inconsistent. Areas for improvement include: 1) developing a process to help teachers provide ELs with standards-based instruction and lessons appropriate to the EL students' proficiency level, 2) developing strategies to help EL students' progress beyond the Intermediate proficiency level on CELDT, 3) coordinating a team of specialists to define appropriate linguistic and academic goals and objectives that appropriately address the needs of ELs with disabilities, 4) refining protocols to effectively group EL students within the mainstream classes to address their English language proficiency levels, 5) continue developing a plan to provide teachers of EL students with ongoing opportunities for coaching and collaboration on best practices related to EL instruction, and 6) increasing parent involvement opportunities to help the parents/guardians of ELs become better informed and able to support the education of their children.

## Identify and describe factors contributing to failure to meet AMAOs.

## D. Conclusion

> The needs of ELs with disabilities must also be addressed by providing professional development on effective instructional strategies that address the English language development needs of these students and their specific learning disabilities. The district must further develop and refine a system for coordinating support achievement within the IEPs of ELs with disabilities. To address the high numbers of ELS that are not making progress beyond the Intermediate level on the CELDT, the district must develop a system to ensure that all teachers are accessing and utilizing information about English language development and CELDT proficiency levels. The district must implement full and consistent standards-based ELD, provide all students with appropriate Reading/English language art and mathematics instruction, and provide interventions based on the students' assessed needs. The district must continue its efforts in coordinating district-wide professional development focusing on the English learner and increase parental outreach and communication.

instructional strategies and assessment data analysis.
The district has identified the EL students performing at the Intermediate level on the CELDT as a critical subgroup of the EL population. This group of students is comprised of a majority of students who are enrolled in U.S. schools six or more years and are enrolled in the mainstream setting. Teachers must receive additional professional development on effective instructional strategies for ELs enrolled in English language arts and mathematics. They also must be provided with opportunities for collaboration on best practices for

| Educational activities to improve English proficiency and academic achievement | Timeline | Personnel Responsible | Funding Sources and Estimate | Progress Reports (to be completed periodically through June 30,2011 ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. Describe scientifically-based research strategies to improve English Language Development (ELD). (AMAOs 1 and 2: English Learner Subgroup Self Assessment (ELSSA). |  |  |  |  |
| Full and consistent implementation of standards-based ELD instruction for all students classified as EL at all grade levels, with a particular focus on support services for long-term EL students in mainstream settings. | On-going | ELD, ELA, Literacy <br> Teachers and Curriculum Specialists | No additional cost | Quarterly common assessments including language gains assessments, walk-throughs by school and district administrators |
| Implementation of new Inside adoption for junior high students enrolled in ELD and Intensive Literacy classes. | On-going | ELD Teachers, EL and Literacy Curriculum Specialists | General Fund, Title III, EIA-LEP, \$10,000 | Professional development evaluations from teachers, walk-throughs by school and district administrators. |
| Continued refining of the implementation of the High Point and Edge adoption for high school students enrolled in ELD classes. | On-going | ELD Teachers, EL Curriculum Specialist | Title III, EIA-LEP, \$10,000 | Professional development evaluations from teachers, walk-throughs by school and district administrators. |
| Implementation of WRITE Institute to develop writing skills in English. | Three times per year/per group | EL Curriculum Specialist, High School ELD and Spanish Teachers | Title III, EIA-LEP, \$30,000 | Quarterly common assessments in ELD and Spanish for Spanish Speakers classes |
| Implementation of Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) district-wide to support academic achievement for students who need additional support to access rigorous curriculum. | On-going | AVID Coordinators, AVID Elective Teachers | General Fund, Costs vary by site | Master schedules, AVID coordinator meetings with OCDE and district Liaison, AVID documentation. |


| Educational activities to improve English proficiency and academic achievement | Timeline | Personnel Responsible | Funding Sources and Estimate | Progress Reports (to be completed periodically through June 30, 2011) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collaboration time for data analysis using DataDirector to refine teaching to improve student mastery of standards <br> Implementation of Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) to support content and English-language acquisition. | On-going <br> Year One of a threeyear plan | EL Teachers, EL, ELA, Special Ed and Literacy Curriculum Specialist, Principals <br> Coordinators, Instructional Support Services and Quality Teacher Programs | General Fund, Title I, Title III, EIA-LEP, Costs vary by site <br> Title I, Title III, EIALEP, Costs vary by site | Walk-throughs, analysis of common assessments <br> Written evidence by SIOP coaches, walk-throughs, feedback from cohort trainers, participants, and supervisors |
| 3. Describe scientifically-based research strategies to improve academic achievement in reading/language arts (R/LA). (AMAO 3; ELSSA) <br> Collaboration time for data analysis using DataDirector to refine teaching to improve student mastery of standards. <br> Implementation of Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) to support content and English-language acquisition. <br> Implementation of Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) district-wide to support academic achievement for students who need additional support to access rigorous curriculum. | On-going <br> Year One of a threeyear plan <br> On-going | ELA Teachers, ELD and ELA Curriculum Specialists, Principals <br> Coordinators, Instructional Support Services and Quality Teacher Programs <br> AVID Coordinators, AVID Elective Teachers | Title I, Title III, EIALEP, Costs vary by site <br> Title I, Title III, EIALEP, Costs vary by site <br> General Fund, Costs vary by site | Walk-throughs, analysis of common assessments <br> Written evidence by SIOP coaches, walk-throughs, feedback from cohort trainers, participants, and supervisors <br> Master schedules, AVID coordinator meetings with OCDE and district Liaison, AVID documentation. |


| Educational activities to improve English proficiency and academic achievement | Timeline | Personnel Responsible | Funding Sources and Estimate | Progress Reports (to be completed periodically through June 30, 2011) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implementation of the Puente Project at four high schools to support academic achievement for students who need additional support to meet college requirements. | On-going | Puente ELA Teachers | No additional cost | Master schedules, Puente coordinator meetings, required Puente documentation. |
| Implementation of MyAccess writing software to provide immediate feedback on students' writing. | On-going | Literacy Curriculum Specialist | Title I, Costs vary by site | Walk-throughs, analysis of common assessments and student writing samples. |
| Implementation of USA Today curriculum to support informational reading. | On-going | ELA Teachers, EL and ELA Curriculum Specialists, Principals | Title III, \$30,000 | Analysis of common assessments |
| Provide collaboration time for data analysis using DataDirector to refine teaching and improve student mastery of content standards | On-going | Math Curriculum Specialists | Title I, Title III,EIALEP, Costs vary by site | Walk-throughs, analysis of common assessments |
| 4. Describe scientifically-based research strategies to improve academic achievement in mathematics. (AMAO 3; ELSSA) |  |  |  |  |
| Implementation of Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) to support content and English-language acquisition. | Year One of a threeyear plan | Coordinators, Instructional Support Services and Quality Teacher Programs and Curriculum Specialists | Title I, Title III, EIALEP, Costs vary by site | Written evidence by SIOP coaches, walk-throughs, feedback from cohort trainers, participants, and supervisors |
| Implementation of UC Irvine Math Project to improve math instruction at the junior high level. | On-going | Math Curriculum Specialists | Title II, Costs vary by site | Walk-throughs, analysis of common assessments |


| Educational activities to improve English proficiency and academic achievement | Timeline | Personnel Responsible | Funding Sources and Estimate | Progress Reports (to be completed periodically through June 30, 2011) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Implementation of Advancement Via Individual Determination (AVID) district-wide to support academic achievement for students who need additional support to access rigorous curriculum. <br> Implementation of ALEKS software to support individualized math instruction at high school. | On-going <br> On-going | AVID Coordinators, AVID Elective Teachers Specialists | General Fund, Costs vary by site <br> Title I, Perkins \$63,000 | Master schedules, AVID coordinator meetings with OCDE and district Liaison, AVID documentation. <br> Master schedules, common assessment results |
| 5. Describe scientifically-based research professional development strategies and activities, including coordination efforts with other Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) programs. (ELSSA) <br> Professional development for teachers to implement Sheltered Instruction Observation Protocol (SIOP) using school-based teacher coaches (Lesson Design Specialists) who coach/model appropriate lesson design and instructional strategies and, for administrators, to monitor implementation. <br> Professional development on the CELDT, CELDT Proficiency Levels, and the instructional implications, to assist teachers in refining their language objectives in English Language Arts and other core content classes. | Year One of a threeyear plan <br> On-going, summer | Coordinators, Instructional Support Services and Quality Teacher Programs and Curriculum Specialists <br> EL, ELA and Literacy Curriculum Specialists | Title III, EIA-LEP, <br> Title I, <br> Costs vary by site <br> Title III, \$25,000 | Monthly cohort meetings, written reports, walk-throughs <br> Walk-throughs, evaluations from trainings |


| Educational activities to improve English <br> proficiency and academic achievement | Timeline | Personnel <br> Responsible | Funding Sources <br> and Estimate | Progress Reports <br> (to be completed periodically <br> through June 30, 2011) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Professional development on Response to <br> Intervention (RTI) with a focus on English <br> learners with disabilities | On-going | Director and <br> Curriculum Specialist, <br> Special Youth <br> Services | Title I, Title II, Title <br> III, | Eva,000 |


| Educational activities to improve English proficiency and academic achievement | Timeline | Personnel Responsible | Funding Sources and Estimate | Progress Reports (to be completed periodically through June 30, 2011) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District-wide use of Teleparent, to provide frequent, multilingual communication with parents regarding school issues and/or student issues. | On-going | District and School Administrators, Teachers, Translators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Title I, EIA-LEP, } \\ & \$ 96,000 \end{aligned}$ | Monitor use log |
| Parent access to their students' grades and attendance via the Parent Portal on Zangle (district student information system.) | On-going | District and School Administrators, Teachers | No additional cost | Activity log on Zangle district application |
| Parenting Classes via Parent Institute for Quality Education (PIQE). | On-going | School Administrators, Title I Coordinators, Community Liaison | Title I, EIA-LEP, \$60,000 | Parent evaluations at the end of classes |
| School-based and district-based translators to facilitate written and oral communication for parents. | on-going | Coordinator, Instructional Support Services | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EIA-LEP, Title III, } \\ & \$ 350,000 \end{aligned}$ | Translation documents, phone logs |
| 7. If applicable, identify any changes to the Title III Immigrant Education Program. | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |



楼殔

# Adequate and Equitable Facilities for All <br> A District－Wide Facilities Report <br> January 2010 

## Executive Summary

Over this past year，with the imminent completion of the Measure $Z$ bond program， the district has been evaluating its remaining facilities improvement needs and methods of funding the improvements to meet those needs．On August 20，2009，the Board of Trustees held a study session for this purpose and directed staff to present them with a detailed，school－by－school outline；funding approaches and timelines for meeting the district＇s facilities needs．Staff has prepared this executive summary and a Power－Point presentation for the Board of Trustees＇special study session meeting of January 14,2010 ．Staff will give a verbal report at that time．

At this time，the commitments from the Measure $Z$ program have been fully funded and the final projects are being completed．Therefore，all of the district＇s remaining facilities improvement needs will have to be funded either through the district＇s ongoing facilities funding，or，through a new capital funding program．

The district currently has approximately $\$ 20.5$ million in ongoing facilities funds． Approximately $\$ 3.8$ million has been encumbered and is funding projects that are in construction．Another，approximately，$\$ 7.8$ million in projects have been funded and will be encumbered in the near future so their construction can proceed．There are an additional $\$ 26.2$ million in projects that are identified and are pending funding． These projects are not currently proceeding because the available funds are far less than needed to fund them all．Therefore，district staff have been prioritizing and assigning funding to these projects as the needs require．Staff have developed a database of improvement needs which is used to track，prioritize，allocate funds，and manage the completion of improvement projects on an ongoing basis．This report is titled＂Anaheim Union High School District－Facility Projects＂．It was used as the basis for many of the figures in the presentation and was attached to it as Attachment 1.

With the completion of Measure Z，any major facilities improvements will require a new funding program．The Board of Trustees asked district staff to develop an approach to provide for this new funding program without raising any additional taxes or impacting the district＇s general fund．Staff has proposed that major facilities improvements could be funded in the near term via the issuance of a certificate of
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participation (COP) that would be repaid by the district's future redevelopment agency (RDA) income. In 2008, the Board of Trustees authorized staff to hire Government Financial Strategies, Inc. (GFS) as a financial consultant who would develop the details for the RDA-COP approach that had been proposed by staff. GFS has worked with staff to develop the $\$ 53$ million program that is included in the presentation. The proposed $\$ 53$ million RDA-COP program that is proposed will meet many, but not all, of the district's large scale facilities improvement needs as identified by the Board of Trustees, the school principals, and the facilities staff. This is shown in the table that was attached to the presentation as Attachment 2. The presentation shows how the forecasted future revenues from the district's 28 redevelopment project areas could support the issuance of a $\$ 32$ million COP that would result in $\$ 27$ million in cash. This cash plus $\$ 3$ million in future developer fee income can be used to attempt to capture and match approximately $\$ 23$ million in state eligibility to fund and complete the $\$ 53$ million of projects in the program. At the end of the presentation, staff will ask the Board of Trustees for authorization to proceed with the RDA-COP program and to start the process of issuing the $\$ 32$ million COP.

By the end of the presentation, it will be clear that not all of the district's remaining facilities improvement needs can be funded through the district's ongoing facilities funding and the $\$ 53$ million RDA-COP program. Further, staff will outline the ongoing steps that they will be making to further define and refine the district's total short and long term facilities improvement needs which undoubtedly will amount to many hundreds of millions of dollars of expense. Therefore, staff will show how they will plan to utilize and maximize the district's ongoing facilities funds to meet pressing needs and how they will support long term plans to identify ways to finance the district's unfunded facilities improvement needs.




Facilities Program Update

|  | January 2006 | April 2009 | December 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MEAZURE Z |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ANTICIPATED REVENUES | \$237,000,000 | \$226,000,000 | \$226,000,000 |
| -Available Bond and State Match * | \$150,000,000 | \$226,000,000 | \$226,000,000 |
| -Potential State Match | \$ 43,000,000 |  |  |
| -Remaining Bond Funds | \$ 12,000,000 |  |  |
| -Utilize Cash Reserves | \$ 32,000,000 |  |  |
| (reserves comprised of deferred maintenance and capital facilities; and cash in County Treasury) |  |  |  |
| - Expenditures | \$131,000,000 | \$226,000,000 | \$226,000,000 |
| CASH RESERVES PROJECTS |  |  |  |
| TOTAL REVENUES |  | \$ 32,000,000 | \$32,000,000 |
| Expenditures |  | \$ 15,000,000 | \$28,000,000 |
| Funded and Encumbered |  |  | \$ 3,780,000 |
| Funded and Pending |  | \$ 17,000,000 | \$ 220,000 |


| $\$ 53,000,000$ | $\$ 53,000,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 30,000,000$ | $\$ 27,000,000$ |
|  | $\$ 3,000,000$ |
| $\$ 23,000,000$ | $\$ 23,000,000$ |
| $\$ 53,000,000$ | $\$ 53,000,000$ |



Ongoing Projects \& Identified Needs

1. Address the needs of those campuses with the
most facilities inadequacies and inequities
2. Identify mechanisms to complete the necessary
work
3. Secure funding
4. Proceed with planning, design and construction
of required projects


| SITE |  | 1986-2001 <br> MODERNIZATION <br> PRE-MEASURE Z | 2002-2009MEASURE $Z$ (New Const \& Mod) | Total Allocation |  | Local Match |  |  |  | Total Modernization Eligibility |  | STATE ELICIBILIT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Mod Match + Additional Allocation |  |  |  | NC Match |  | Modernization Eligibility Matched |  |  |  |
| 1 | ANAHEIM |  |  | \M11 | \$ | 9,250,000 | \$ | 3,749,588 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,500,412 | \$ | 5,500,412 |
| 2 | BALL |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 774,004 | \$ |  |
| 3 | BROOKHURST | matar |  | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 1,488,064 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,011,936 | \$ | 1,011,936 |
| 4 | CYPRESS |  | T1111110 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 1,461,194 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,038,806 | \$ | 1,038,806 |
| 5 | DALE |  |  | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 200,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 875,870 | \$ | 300,000 |
| 6 | GILBERT WEST |  |  | \$ | 1,500,000 | \$ | 600,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,290,820 | \$ | 900,000 |
| 7 | HOPE |  |  | \$ | 7,500,000 | \$ | 4,583,616 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,916,384 | \$ | 2,916,384 |
| 8 | KATELLA |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 2,395,734 | \$ | - |
| 9 | KENNEDY |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 591,649 | \$ | - |
| 10 | LEXINGTON |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 11 | LOARA |  | IIIIIIIIIIIII | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 1,162,486 | \$ | - |
| 12 | MAGNOLIA | 5imbum |  | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,250,000 | \$ | 1,076,980 | \$ | - |
| 13 | ORANGEVIEW | 545reser |  | \$ | 3,500,000 | \$ | 1,400,000 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,604,281 | \$ | 2,100,000 |
| 14 | OXFORD |  | (121\|111131101 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 711,800 | \$ | - |
| 15 | POLARIS BLDG |  |  | \$ | 6,500,000 | \$ | 3,875,985 | \$ | - | \$ | 2,624,015 | \$ | 2,624,015 |
| 16 | SAVANNA |  |  | \$ | 2,750,000 | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 1,276,139 | \$ | 750,000 |
| 17 | SOUTH |  | (4WIIIIMIIIIII | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 18 | SYCAMORE |  |  | \$ | 9,000,000 | \$ | 7,730,437 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,269,563 | \$ | 1,269,563 |
| 19 | TRIDENT | 5ing |  | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | 701,694 | \$ | - | \$ | 298,306 | \$ | 298,306 |
| 20 | WALKER |  |  | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | 776,248 | \$ | - |
| 21 | WESTERN | - |  | \$ | 4,000,000 | \$ | 925,677 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | 3,574,323 | \$ | 1,574,323 |






RDA Funding Commitment
Revenuel
Debt
Service
$\$ 7,000,000$
Projected Redevelopment Revenues Could Support a \$32 Million Financing

2036

30 Year Debt Service
$\begin{array}{ccc}2024 & 2028 & 2032 \\ \text { Fiscal Year Beg. July I } & \end{array}$
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Page 7
AUHSDE

|  | Privect reat |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commerannustial |  | $\underset{2047}{2049}$ |  |
| city |  |  | $\underset{\substack{2044 \\ 2037}}{ }$ |  |
| Coill |  | 为 | $\underset{\substack{2045 \\ 2039}}{2039}$ | 92.5\% |
| (city |  |  |  |  |
|  | Weinemandiem Com Corimos |  |  |  |
| cily | Toss mamm |  |  |  |
| Cotivo samun |  | ${ }_{\text {cke }}^{52} 5$ | ${ }^{2029}$ |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow[\substack{\text { Suadum } \\ \text { Apha }}]{\text { cher }}$ |  | $\substack{2000 \\ 2000}$ |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{2033 \\ 2031}}$ |  |
|  |  | cis | $\underset{\substack{2026 \\ 2037}}{20}$ |  |
|  |  |  | ${ }_{\substack{2032 \\ 2023}}^{2023}$ |  |
| cityof stanen | Sanano, oiis treal 183.84 | ss9,5s1 | ${ }^{2034}$ |  |
| cirlof fatern |  | cisiose | ${ }_{2037}^{2035}$ |  |
| cill | M |  | ${ }_{20}^{2023}$ |  |
| Cityof Analem |  |  |  |  |

## Within the City and to be of benefit to the project area． <br> 

$31.2 \%$
Wequirements
$\square$

T I $T$

| consistent with those shown in the Pass－through Agreement． |
| :--- |
| At Savanna High School，Western High School，Brookhurst Junior High School，Dale Junior <br> High School，Orangeview Junior High School，Gilbert West Continuation School，or Hope <br> School． |
| At schools that are a）in the project area，b）attended by project area students，c）attended <br> by students generated by RDA actions related to the project area，or d）determined by the <br> Board to be of benefit to the project area． |
| At Cypress High School，Kennedy High School，Lexington Jr．High School，or Walker Jr．High <br> School and projects consistent with those shown in the Pass－through Agreement． <br> On a）projects of benefit to the project area，such as at school sites serving the project area <br> or b）other projects in the City． | or b）other projects in the City． by students generated by RDA actions related to the project area，or d）determined by the

Board to be of benefit to the project area． At schools that are a）in the project area，b）attended by project area students，$c$ ）attended
by students generated by RDA actions related to the project area，or d）determined by the

> Within the City and to be of benefit to the project area.
o̊
$10.0 \%$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 80 \\
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$



$\infty$

$8.4 \%$
 by students generated by RDA actions relat
Board to be of benefit to the project area．


District must request funds from the County for a particular use．County Board of
Supervisors must find that the use of funds is of benefit to the project area or its immediate
neighborhood and that there is no other reasonable means of financing the proposed use．
At schools that are a）in the project are b）attended by project area students，c）attended by students generated by RDA actions related to the project area，or d）determined by the

At Cypress High School，Lexington Jr．High School，or Oxford Jr．High School and projects consistent with those shown in the Pass－through Agreement．
$7.8 \%$
$7.4 \%$
8
6．8\％

$\stackrel{\circ}{\dot{+}}$
8
$3.9 \%$
$2.7 \%$
\％厅゙て6 ：＇IVLOL
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## 正

Projects
A $\mathbf{\$ 3 2}$ Million Financing is Projected to Net $\$ 27$ Million Cash for Facilities Projects


Million


6
sdo7S 7XON

| 714 10f 527272700 $\pm$ <br>  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| spunf [elol se slozeord ponגlsuos/p!g |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Bu!punt |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| วəoxd 反u!puəd u! 000‘06£‘6\$ <br>  |  |  |


Anaheim Union High School District - Facility Projects

| Site | Project | Priority $F$ E |  |  | Budget | Fund | Start Date Mgr BIC |  |  | Comment | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mog}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Maintenance |  | Funded Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loara HS | (809) Sump Pumps / Storm Water Drainage |  | $\checkmark \square$ |  | \$13,700.00 | 1414 | 1/21/2010 | RF | RF | PO issued | 1/8/2010 |
| Brookhurst JH | (309) Paint Exterior Campus |  | $\checkmark$ |  | \$77,000.00 | 1414 | 12/20/2009 | RF | JE | Work in progress | 1/8/2010 |
| Loara HS | (366) Exterior Lighting | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  | \$17,200.00 | 1414 | 12/1/2009 | DA | RF | PO issued | 1/7/2010 |
| Magnolia HS | (382) Pavement Rehab./ Site Imp. Phase I | 1 | $\checkmark \checkmark$ |  | \$825,000.00 | 1414 | 8/10/2009 | JO | RF | 99\% Complete | 1/8/2010 |
| Subtotal: Deferred Maintenance |  | \$932,900.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developer Fees |  | Funded En |  | Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sites | (604) Developer Fee Study | 1 | $\checkmark \sqrt{V}$ |  | \$50,000.00 | 2525-9110 | 7/1/2009 | JO | JO | Prensenting to Board Feb. 11 | 1/7/2010 |
| Subtotal: Developer Fees |  |  |  |  | \$50,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General Fund |  | Funded En |  | Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sites | (697) Content Filter |  | $\checkmark \sqrt{V}$ |  | \$75,100.00 |  | 8/1/2009 | EG | EG | Rolled out to - Anaheim, Western | 1/7/2010 |
| All Sites | (692) AppleAD |  | $\checkmark \checkmark$ |  | \$7,000.00 |  | 10/1/2009 | EG | EG | Hardware Install | 11/20/2009 |
| District | (552) Consolidate AV Space | 1 | $\checkmark$ |  | \$2,000.00 |  | 4/1/2009 | TH | TH | 80\% complete | 10/30/2009 |
| District | (323) Relocate Curriculum Specialist Room |  | $\checkmark \sqrt{V}$ |  | \$1,000.00 |  | 4/1/2009 | TH | DA | 95\% complete - finishing up Spring break '10 | 1/8/2010 |
| Subtotal: General Fund |  |  |  |  | \$85,100.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Microsoft Settlement |  | Funded En |  |  | Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sites | (696) SharePoint |  | $\checkmark$ |  | \$200,000.00 | 5270 | 7/1/2009 | EG | EG | SharePoint production system live | 1/7/2010 |
| Subtotal: Microsoft Settlement |  |  |  |  | \$200,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Site | Project | Priority $F$ E |  | Budget | Fund | Start Date Mgr BIC Comment |  |  |  | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NuRsor | Cuctenctmoro |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Min |  |
| Adult Ed. |  | Funded Not Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adult Ed | (274) Address Rented Portables |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$250,000.00 |  | 8/1/2010 | JO | JO | Restore site after removal of rented | 1/7/2010 |
| Subtotal: Adult Ed. |  |  |  | \$250,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Facilities - COPs |  | Funded No |  | Not Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sites | (842) Undesignated Contingencies |  | $\square \square$ | \$150,000.00 | 2525-9135 |  | JO | JO | New | 1/8/2010 |
| District | (613) Sales of ITT Building |  | (V) $\square$ | \$0.00 | 2525-9135 |  | TH | TH | Tentative deal | 11/20/2009 |
| Katella HS | (336) Close-Out / Modernization |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$50,000.00 | 2525-9135 | 7/1/2010 | JO | JO | In progress | 10/30/2009 |
| Subtotal: Capital Facilities - COPs |  |  |  | \$200,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deferred Maintenance |  | Funded No |  | ot Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sites | (843) Undesignated Contingencies |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$250,000.00 | 1414 |  | JO | JO | New | 1/8/2010 |
| Katella HS | (331) Site Improvements (Phase I) |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$2,300,000.00 | 1414 | 8/1/2009 | JO | JO | Package submitted to DSA | 11/20/2009 |
| Kennedy HS | (350) Restore Basketball Courts \& Asphalt | 1 | $\checkmark \square$ | \$100,000.00 | 1414 | 7/1/2010 | DA | TH | Obtaining quotes | 1/8/2010 |
| Savanna HS | (402) Paving / Drainage South Side | 1 | $\square \square$ | \$75,000.00 | 1414 | 8/1/2009 | DA | DA | RF to get plans to JO | 1/8/2010 |
| Brookhurst JH | (723) Replace Exterior Lighting | 2 | $\checkmark \square$ | \$10,000.00 | 1414 | 7/1/2010 | DA | DA | Newly added project | 11/5/2009 |
| Katella HS | (335) Paint Exterior of Campus | 2 | $\checkmark \square$ | \$120,000.00 | 1414 | 7/1/2010 | RF | RF | Need pictures | 1/8/2010 |
| Loara HS | (381) ROTC Classrooms |  | $\square \square$ | \$50,000.00 | 1414 | 7/1/2010 | JO | JO |  | 6/1/2009 |
| Ball JHS | (305) Drainage Improvement |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$400,000.00 | 1414 | 6/1/2010 | JO | JO | 35\% plans / on hold | 5/15/2009 |
| Subtotal: Deferred Maintenance |  |  |  | \$3,305,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Developer Fees |  | Funded N |  | Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Sites | (839) Lease of Portables (2009-2010) |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$100,000.00 | 2525-9110 |  | JO | JO | New | 1/8/2010 |
| All Sites | (836) Undesignated Contingencies |  | $\square \square$ | \$300,000.00 | 2525-9110 |  | JO | JO | New | 1/10/2010 |
| Hope | (581) Relocate 2 Owned Portables |  | $\square \square$ | \$50,000.00 | 2525-9110 | 8/1/2009 | JO | JO | Pending space utilization study | 4/24/2009 |
| Kennedy HS | (354) Relocate Owned Portable |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$25,000.00 | 2525-9110 | 2/1/2010 | TH | TH |  | 10/16/2009 |
| Subtotal: Developer Fees |  |  |  | \$475,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Site | Project | Priority F E |  | Budget | Fund | Start Date Mgr BIC Comment |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ROP (LPP) |  | Funded Not Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Katella HS | (332) Relocate ROP / Child Care Portable |  | $\square \square$ | \$200,000.00 |  | 711/2010 | JO | Jo | Plans submitted to DSA | 7/24/2009 |
| Trident | (417) ROP Dental Lab |  | $\checkmark \square$ | \$250,000.00 |  | 711/2010 | JO | Jo | Finalizing plans | 10/30/2009 |
| Cypress HS | (561) Child Care Parking Area |  | $\nabla \square$ | \$200,000.00 |  | 8/1/2009 | DA | JO | Working w/C.E. | 1/8/2010 |
| Loara HS | (372) Relocate Child Care |  | $\nabla \square$ | \$100,000.00 |  | 7/1/2010 | Jo | J0 |  | 10/16/2009 |
| Subtota | OP (LPP) |  |  | \$750,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Site | Project Priority F E |  | Budget | Fund | Start Date Mgr BIC Comment |  |  |  | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4itoct | ©htcong mproxem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RRM F |  | Funded Not Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Anaheim HS | (810) Install Exterior Room No. Signs | 0 - $\square$ | \$15,000.00 | 0101-8150 |  | Jo | Jo |  | 12/2/2009 |
| Anaheim HS | (282) Relocate Trainer | 1 1 $\square$ | \$10,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 1/3/2010 | TH | TH |  | 3/16/2009 |
| District | (612) As Built Scanning/Construction Office | $1 \square$ | \$100,000.00 | 0101-8150 |  | JO | Jo |  | 10/16/2009 |
| District | (320) Relocate / Reconfigure Warehouse Mailroom | $1 \square$ | \$2,500.00 | 0101-8150 | 2/1/2010 | TH | TH | Project moved to "in process" | 3/6/2009 |
| Information Sy | (699) Transfer Switch - DO | 1V $\square$ | \$15,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Lexington JHS | (446) Renovate Band Room Cabinets / Office | $1 \boxtimes \square$ | \$10,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 711/2010 | DA | DA | Summer '10 / Need Scope | 11/20/2009 |
| Loara HS | (368) Lighting Front of Main Building Parking Lot | 1 - $\square$ | \$15,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 7/1/2010 | RF | RF |  | 1/8/2010 |
| Loara HS | (377) Landscaping / Campus | $1 \nabla \square$ | \$70,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 211/2010 | Jo | TH | TH to design | 5/15/2009 |
| Oxford | (394) Low Voltage Systems / Buildings E \& F | $1 \nabla \square$ | \$200,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 8/1/2009 | Jo | RF | In DSA plan check | 1/8/2010 |
| Savanna HS | (401) Demo of (6) Old Portables | $1 \nabla$ | \$60,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 711/2009 | Jo | DA | $50 \%$ complete - 3 left w/b removed | 10/30/2009 |
| Trident | (589) Relocate Teachers Lounge | $1 \square \square$ | \$5,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 1/1/2010 | DA | TH |  | 7/24/2009 |
| Kennedy HS | (351) Pavement \& Landscaping Improvements | 2 $\downarrow \square$ | \$75,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 7/1/2010 | DA | TH |  | 3/20/2009 |
| Lexington JHS | (566) Repaint Interior Trim | 2 V | \$6,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 12/21/2009 | DA | DA | Spring break '10 | 1/8/2010 |
| Lexington JHS | (564) Reconfigure ADA Bollards @ entry | $2 \checkmark$ | \$15,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 711/2010 | DA | DA | Pending design | 10/30/2009 |
| Loara HS | (378) Fencing Package | $2 \square$ | \$40,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 1/15/2010 | Jo | JO |  | 3/20/2009 |
| Loara HS | (367) Math Building / Interior | $2 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 711/2010 | DA | TH | Summer '10 | 9/4/2009 |
| Oxford | (398) Relocate Bike Rack / Containers | 2 $\downarrow \square$ | \$8,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 12/1/2009 | DA | DA | Spring break '10-developing scope | 1/8/2010 |
| Sycamore JHS | (591) New Front Exterior Lights | $2 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 211/2010 | DA | DA | Reviewing quotes | 1/8/2010 |
| Trident | (420) Relocate Sign | $2 \square \square$ | \$2,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 3/1/2010 | DA | DA | DA \& TH to walk | 10/16/2009 |
| Lexington JHS | (356) Quad Pave Project | $3 \sqrt{V}$ | \$50,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 3/1/2010 | DA | TH | Defining Scope | 12/15/2009 |
| District | (442) Parking Lot Reconfiguration | $4 \nabla \square$ | \$50,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 2/1/2010 | JO | DA | Walk project | 1/8/2010 |
| Magnolia HS | (385) Design / Build Trash Enclosure | $4 \nabla \square$ | \$10,000.00 | 0101-8150 | 7/15/2010 | DA | TH |  | 10/16/2009 |
| Sycamore JHS | (598) Relocate Bike Rack | $4 \square \square$ | \$1,500.00 | 0101-8150 | 3/1/2010 | DA | DA | DA \& TH to walk | 11/20/2009 |
| Subtotal: RRM |  | - | \$790,000.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Site | Project | Priority $F E$ | Budget | Fund | Start Date Mgr BIC | Comment | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total IN PROCESS |  |  | 12,032,000 |  |  |  |  |



| Site | Project | Priority F E | Budget | Fund | Start Date Mgr BIC |  |  | Comment | Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O |  | Erientiptorg |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *No Funding Source Indicate |  | Not Funded Not Encumbered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Katella HS | (731) AV - Katella | $1 \square \square$ | \$4,062.90 |  | 11/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$ 6,812.10 | 11/20/2009 |
| Katella HS | (705) Transfer Switch - Katella | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Katella HS | (737) Network Infrastructure - Katella | 1 $\square \square$ | \$27,944.45 |  | 11/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$187,012.87 | 11/20/2009 |
| Kennedy HS | (706) Transfer Switch - Kennedy | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Lexington JHS | (716) Transfer Switch - Lexington | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Loara HS | (704) Transfer Switch - Loara | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Magnolia HS | (727) AV - Magnolia | $1 \square \square$ | \$4,062.90 |  | 11/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$ 6,812.10 | 11/20/2009 |
| Magnolia HS | (736) Network Infrastructure - Magnolia | $1 \square \square$ | \$25,634.32 |  | 11/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$171,552.74 | 11/20/2009 |
| Magnolia HS | (702) Transfer Switch - Magnolia | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Orangeview JH | (734) Network Infrastructure - Orangeview | $1 \square \square$ | \$17,977.98 |  | 11/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$120,314.16 | 11/20/2009 |
| Orangeview JH | (710) Transfer Switch - Orangeview | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Orangeview JH | (726) AV - Orangeview | 1) $\square$ | \$4,062.90 |  | 11/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$ 6,812.10 | 11/20/2009 |
| Oxford | (708) Transfer Switch - Oxford | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Savanna HS | (688) AV - Savanna | $1 \square \square$ | \$4,062.90 |  | 10/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$ 6,812.10 | 11/20/2009 |
| Savanna HS | (687) Network Infrastructure - Savanna | $1 \square \square$ | \$25,150.04 |  | 10/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$ 168,311.78 | 11/20/2009 |
| Savanna HS | (703) Transfer Switch - Savanna | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| South JHS | (689) AV - South | $1 \square \square$ | \$4,062.90 |  | 10/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$ 6,812.10 | 11/20/2009 |
| South JHS | (683) Network Infrastructure - South | $1 \square \square$ | \$30,008.05 |  | 6/15/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$200,823.10 | 11/20/2009 |
| South JHS | (715) Transfer Switch - South | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Sycamore JHS | (713) Transfer Switch - Sycamore | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Sycamore JHS | (690) AV - Sycamore | $1 \square \square$ | \$4,062.90 |  | 10/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$ 6,812.10 | 11/20/2009 |
| Sycamore JHS | (682) Network Infrastructure - Sycamore | $1 \square \square$ | \$24,924.35 |  | 10/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$166,801.45 | 11/20/2009 |
| Trident | (719) Transfer Switch - Trident | $1 \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Walker JHS | (711) Transfer Switch - Walker | $1 \square \square$ | \$15,000.00 |  | 10/30/2009 | EG | RF | Scope project | 10/30/2009 |
| Western HS | (619) Western Cabling | $1 \square \square$ | \$169,000.00 |  | 11/1/2009 | EG | BM | Job Walk | 1/7/2010 |
| Western HS | (681) Network Infrastructure - Western | $1 \square \square$ | \$23,746.61 |  | 10/1/2009 | EG | EG | E-Rate Federal Share - \$158,919.61 | 11/20/2009 |
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| $\vdots$ | 10／30／2009On hold| 믕 |
| :--- |
| $\frac{0}{5}$ |Requires design$\frac{0}{0}$

$\frac{8}{5}$
 ○ $\underset{\square}{\perp}$

| I | I | $I$ | $O$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | $\square$

○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○
 웅 은 $\circ$
$\stackrel{0}{3}$

$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | I |
| :---: |
| $\stackrel{O}{C}$ |
| $\stackrel{C}{ }$ |
| $\underset{\infty}{\infty}$ |

 I
$\stackrel{0}{2}$
$\stackrel{N}{N}$ 0
0
O
N
N

ㅇ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\stackrel{N}{N}$ | 0 | 0 |  | 2545 2545尔先 2545 2545

 2545
2545 $\$ 675,000.00$
Not Funded Not Encumbered

$\$ 100,000.00$ | 8 |
| :--- |
| 8 |
| 8 |
| - |
| $\vdots$ |
| 8 | $\$ 605,000.00$ $\$ 500,000.00$ $\$ 1,500,000.00$ $\$ 920,000.00$ $\$ 150,000.00$

 $\$ 1,700,000.00$
$\$ 225,000.00$ $\$ 225,000.00$

$\$ 120,000.00$ | 8 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 0 | $n$ |
| 0 | $n$ |
|  | 6 |

 | $\$ 750,000.00$ |
| ---: |
| $\$ 2,140,000.00$ |
| $\$ 150,000.00$ | $2 \square \square \quad \$ 150,000.00$ $\$ 4,500,000.00$

$\$ 400,000.00$ $\begin{array}{l:l}8 & 8 \\ 8 & 8 \\ 0 & 8 \\ 8 & 0 \\ 8 & 10 \\ 6 & 6 \\ \square & \square \\ \square & \square \\ & \square\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}8 & 8 \\ 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 8 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & 0\end{array}$ $\$ 1,000,000.00$
$\$ 1,000,000.00$ \＄900，000．00 $\$ 975,000.00$
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